

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

|   | APPLICATION NO.                                      | FILING DATE    | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.     | CONFIRMATION NO.        |  |
|---|--|----------------|----------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|--|
| _                                       | 09/837,983   | 04/19/2001     | Yoram De Hazan       | 3-84-8-10               | 1409                    |  |
|   | 7:   | 590 09/11/2003 |                      |                         |                         |  |
|   | Thomas, Kayden,                                      |                | EXAMINER             |                         |                         |  |
| Horstemeyer & Risley, L.L.P. Suite 1500 |  |                |                      | CHIN, PETER             |                         |  |
|   | 100 Galleria Parkway, N.W.<br>Atlanta, GA 30339-5948 |                |                      | ART UNIT                | PAPER NUMBER            |  |
|   |  |                |                      | 1731                    |                         |  |
|   |  |                |                      | DATE MAILED: 09/11/2003 | DATE MAILED: 09/11/2003 |  |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| *. page . Sg  |  | Application No.                      | Applicant(s)                                       |  |  |  |  |  |
|---|--|--------------------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|
|   |  | 09/837,983                           | HAZAN ET AL.                                       |  |  |  |  |  |
|   | Office Action Summary  | Examiner                             | Art Unit   |  |  |  |  |  |
|   |  | Peter Chin                           | 1731   |  |  |  |  |  |
|   | The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address  |                                      |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Period for Reply  |  |                                      |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). |  |                                      |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Status  |  |                                      |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1)  | Responsive to communication(s) filed on  |                                      |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2a)□  |  | is action is non-final.              |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3)  | 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. |                                      |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Disposition of Claims   |  |                                      |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4)⊠   | Claim(s) 1-9 is/are pending in the application.  |                                      |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4   | 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw  | wn from consideration.               |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5)□   | Claim(s) is/are allowed.   |                                      |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6)⊠   | 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-9</u> is/are rejected.   |                                      |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7)  | 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.  |                                      |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.   |  |                                      |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Application Papers  |  |                                      |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.   |  |                                      |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>19 April 2001</u> is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  |  |                                      |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).   |  |                                      |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.  If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.  |  |                                      |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.   |  |                                      |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120   |  |                                      |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).   |  |                                      |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ,   | ☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:  | i priority aridor do 0.0.0; 3 i rola | / (d) 01 (i).                                      |  |  |  |  |  |
|   | 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority document:  | s have been received.                |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|   | 2. Certified copies of the priority documents  |                                      | on No.   |  |  |  |  |  |
|   | 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the prior   | .,                                   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.   |  |                                      |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 14)∐ A  | 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).   |                                      |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.   |  |                                      |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Attachment(s)   |  |                                      |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2) Notice   | e of References Cited (PTO-892)<br>e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)<br>nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)  | 5) Notice of Informal F              | (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152) |  |  |  |  |  |

Application/Control Number: 09/837,983

Art Unit: 1731

## **DETAILED ACTION**

1. Claims1, 2,6 and 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Akira et al (JP 61-31324, see English language abstract)).

Akira et al discloses a process of making an optical fiber. A silica soot body having an inner soot core up-doped with GeO and an outer core of pure silica is heated in an atmosphere free of F at 1300 °F, i.e., temperature below vitrification to dehydrate the soot body. The soot body is subsequently heated in an F atmosphere at a temperature sufficiently to vitrify the body and to dope the outer core with F. While there appears to be no explicit recitation that the soot body is porous, it is well known fact that it is and thus the claims are anticipated or at the least, it is obvious that the soot body is porous to allow F to diffuse into the out core.

2. Claims 3-5,8 and 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Akira et al (JP 61-31324).

The claimed cooling step of claims 3 and 9, and claim 8 are obvious matter of process optimization. The F agents of claims 4 and 5 are obvious since they are well known F doping agents.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Peter Chin whose telephone number is (703) 308-2046. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday.

Art Unit: 1731

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Steven Griffin can be reached on (703) 308-1164. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0651.

Peter Chin Primary Examiner Art Unit 1731